

Calvin Howell

From: Andrew Henderson [andrewhenderson@fusemail.com]
Sent: Friday, November 07, 2003 7:29 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: USF Changes are Wrong

RECEIVED

DEC 16 2003

**Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary**

Andrew Henderson
1655 E University Dr, Ste 3042
Tempe, AZ 85281 8498

November 7, 2003

Federal Communications Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein
FCC
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Federal Communications Commissioner Adelstein

CC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and
NSD File No L-00-72.

I am opposed to the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund. I urge the FCC to carefully consider the impact of these changes on consumers before changing the current system. Charging \$1 or more per month regardless of how much or how little we use our phone is not fair. This will greatly increase the cost of phone service and it could impact the ability for myself and others to afford landline and/or wireless service.

The USF was created to make phone service affordable in rural America and was updated to increase the availability of communication services to schools, libraries, rural health centers, educational institutions and low income individuals in the United States. Now you want to change it and I do not think it is fair to charge everybody \$1 dollar per month regardless of how much or how little they use their wireless phone for interstate calls.

The proposed change is especially unfair for low-volume users that rely on wireless service for safety and security, and who make few, if any, long distance calls. A contribution system is fair, equitable and nondiscriminatory and should be left alone. Please do not penalize wireless phone customers. Keep this fair. We don't have a blanket income tax on our annual salaries nor do we have the same sales tax on a pack of gum and an automobile, so why should there be a "one size fits all" charge for wireless phones?

Sincerely,

Andrew Henderson